



Regulator of  
Social Housing

# Regulatory Update

Althea Houghton – Acting Assistant  
Director , Registrations, Notifications and  
Small Providers

March 2019



# Update from RSH

2018 to date

- RSH independence
- Green Paper
- Sector Risk Profile
- Rent Policy
- VFM Standard
- Regulating the Standards

# RSH standalone body

- Independent NDPB from 1 October 2018
- Separation from Homes England
- Regulatory functions unchanged
- Independent governance, budgeting, corporate services and SLAs
- Communications and engagement

# Green Paper

# Green paper consultation

- 1** **Ensuring homes are safe and decent**

Commitment to implement Hackitt and asks whether the DHS should be updated
- 2** **Effective resolution of complaints**

Improving and speeding up how complaints are resolved
- 3** **Empowering residents and strengthening the regulator**

Seeks views on KPIs, resident engagement and changes to the regulator's objectives, approach to consumer regulation and enforcement powers
- 4** **Tackling stigma**

Celebrating thriving communities and challenging stereotypes of residents and communities
- 5** **Supply**

Building homes that we need and providing a spring board to home ownership

# Response to the green paper

- Government has announced it will publish a response to the Green Paper in the Spring
- Second anniversary of Grenfell approaching
- Also anticipate future announcements on the Hackitt Implementation plan and tall buildings regulator

# What might it say?

We don't know however you might expect:

- It may acknowledge the need to move towards a more proactive regulatory regime for consumer matters
- It may consider the role of KPIs in a more proactive consumer regulation role
- Given the publicity around recent Shelter commission report we might also expect that it gives a view on structure for the new regulatory regime

# Sector Risk Profile 2018

## Sector Risk Profile – What is it what does it cover?

- Published October 2018, Addendum published February 2019
- The publication is to support Boards. It sets out a wide range of common risks facing the social housing sector
- The risks facing each registered provider will depend upon the nature of that business
- Key increasing risks highlighted this year include:
  - Health & safety
  - Reputational
  - Sales exposure



# Sector Risk Profile – Strategic risks

## Health & safety risks

- be clear about their landlord responsibilities (stock owned and/or managed)
- have comprehensive control systems in place that staff know how to implement
- Understand the complexities around the type of buildings that they own and/or manage

## Reputational risks

- Be aware that their actions will be scrutinised by a wide range of stakeholders
- Deregulation – trading regulatory risk for political risk
- GDPR

## Value for Money

- Uses its income efficiently and is transparent about how it does so. It's important that boards challenge the organisations performance



# Sector Risk Profile - other key risk areas

The publication also covers:

- Operational risks- stress testing
- Operational risks- existing stock
- Operational risks- development and diversification
- Finance and treasury management risks



# Sector Risk Profile – Operational risks

- **Stress testing**
- Registered providers must consider a severe general macro economic shock - BoE (ACS 2018)
- **Existing stock**
- Stock quality (DHS)
- Counter party risks
- Cost v inflation – ( impact on new supply)
- Income collection, welfare reform and rents
- Rental market exposure
- Supported housing (funding mechanisms)



# Sector Risk Profile – Operational risks

## Development and diversification

- Government ambition of new supply – 300,000 per annum by mid 2020's
- But providers need to be aware of the key risk including financial viability
- Sector forecasts to increase development across all tenure types especially outright sales
- **Boards must:**
  - consider the trade-offs between reinvestment in current stock and development
  - Have well developed mitigation strategies in place
  - have appropriate skills to control these risks



# Sector Risk Profile – Operational risks

- **Leased based funding provision**
  
- Boards of these registered providers must:
  - Understand the funding model
  - Stress test the business plan (including CPI, voids)
  - Understand contractual agreements and have mitigation strategies in place
  - Ensure compliance with the Consumer Standards
  
- Addendum to the sector risk profile published in April



# Statistical Data Return 2017/18

Welfare Reform Work Act 2016 requirement for 1% rent reduction is broadly on track

Recognise that rent rules are complex

What constitutes an excepted property is a particular area to focus on

Boards need to ensure they understand the requirements and manage the greater risk and potential for error

## Last 6 months – common problems that have emerged more than once in small providers

- Non-social housing activity – loss making/contracts lost
- Covenant monitoring – including non-financial
- Development/diversification – skills gap
- Gas/fire safety matters
- Concentration risk in leased based providers
- BUT context of a small proportion – c30 providers.

# De-regulation

- Implemented April 2017
- Constitutional and disposal freedoms
- Replaced by simple requirement to notify in certain circumstances
- No significant shift in sector approach identified
  
- Where we have followed up
  
- Consultation with tenants
- Due diligence on purchasers

# Rents Policy

# Rents policy 2020

- Government has issued a new Direction on the rent standard to the regulator (February 2019)
- Locks in the 4 year 1% reduction and reintroduces CPI +1% rent increases from April 2020
- Proposes that we regulate LA rents for the first time
- Government has committed to the proposals for a minimum period of 5 years
- Government has published a comprehensive *statement on rents for social housing* which outlines all rent setting policy in detail
- RSH to consult on its standard



# Value for Money

# Value for money

**New Standard  
and Code of  
Practice came  
into effect on 1  
April 2018**

RSH objectives:

- Continue to drive improvements in VFM in the sector
- Ensure a strategic approach to delivering VFM is embedded within businesses
- Encourage investment in existing homes and new housing supply
- Enhance the consistency, comparability and transparency of VFM reporting

**VFM metrics  
reports  
published in  
September**

- Wide range of reported performance on the metrics across the sector
- Boards should understand their own performance and use analysis for context and peer comparison
- Provider-level data published in December with the Global Accounts publication

# VFM Metrics

Core Metric	Description
Reinvestment %	Scale of investment in development and capital expenditure on current assets
New supply delivered (SHL and non SHL) %	Units acquired or developed in the year
Gearing %	Proportion of borrowing in relation to size of asset base
Earnings Before Interest, Tax, Depreciation, Amortisation, Major Repairs Included (EBITDA MRI) Interest Cover %	Key indicator for liquidity and investment capacity
Headline social housing cost /unit	Overall SHL costs and main sub-costs per unit
Operating margin (SHL and overall 'Group') %	Operating Surplus, (deficit)/ Turnover
Return on capital employed – ROCE %	Surplus/Deficit PLUS disposal of fixed assets PLUS profit/loss JV's compared to total assets



# An overview of VFM reporting in the 2018 accounts

- 2018/19 first year in which registered providers were required to report on the new reporting requirements but given some flexibility.
- Only a minority of registered providers failed to publish data on all of the VFM metrics.
- Some registered providers did not calculate the metrics on the precise basis set out in the regulator's VFM Metrics Technical Note (FVA lines).
- A limited number of registered providers made an effort to report on all the reporting expectations set out in the VFM standard.
- Registered providers who were less transparent or failed to report on all of the metrics on the basis set out in the VFM Technical Note were contacted by the Regulator.



# Reporting expectations from 2019

- The freedom on aspects of reporting requirements in the first year of the VFM standard has now expired.
- Registered providers must comply in full with the reporting expectations of the VFM standard ( 2.2a and 2.2b), this includes:
  - Reporting on performance against providers own value for money targets and the regulators metrics and how that performance compares to peers;
  - Registered providers must be transparent regarding the measureable plans to address any areas of underperformance.



# Reporting expectations from 2019

- Registered providers must have clear targets in place to demonstrate that their strategic objectives are being achieved.
- Performance reporting must include all elements of the value chain – Economy, Efficiency and Effectiveness:
  - Registered providers must report on actual performance, previous year's performance, future forecast, targets against forecasts in relation to their strategic objectives;
  - Registered providers must have plans for improvements if targets were not met **or**
  - Where it is not appropriate to undertake improvements this should be clearly stated and the rationale for the decision set out.



# VFM reporting – General update

- The VFM Metrics Technical Note (FVA lines) will be updated shortly to reflect the following:
  - Changes to the FVA template;
  - Additional guidance for small providers



# Regulating the Standards

# What has changed?

The main changes in this version of RTS are:

- **Differentiated engagement** – the introduction of planned engagement meetings with some providers and biennial IDAs is covered
- **Stress testing** – Re-emphasis of expectations
- **Annex C** of Regulating the Standards which sets out the IDA model amended
- **Interim regulatory judgements** – clarification of our approach in different circumstances

# Any questions?

